TANMEAH BASSMAH TBP
Universal Journal of Green Human Resources and Sustainable Humanities (UJGHRSH)
Volume 2, Issue 2, 2025
اَلْمَجَلَّة اَلْعَالَمِيَّة لِلْمَوَارِد اَلْبَشَرِيَّة اَلْخَضْرَاء وَاَلْعُلُوم اَلْإِنْسَانِيَّة اَلْمُسْتَدَامَة
Journal homepage: https://journals.tanmeah.com/index.php/ujghrsh

Green Human Resource Practices and Technology-Enabled E-Waste Management: A Review of Sustainable Integration

Wang, M. Palaandy[1]*

[1] University of Science and Technology of China, University in Hefei, China

CHRONICLE
Article history:
Received:
June, 28, 2025.
Received in revised format: November, 19, 2025.
Accepted: December, 29, 2025.
Available online: December, 31, 2025.

Keywords:
GHRM, E-waste Management, Sustainability, Organizational Behavior, Technology-Enabled Systems, IoT, Environmental Performance, Triple Bottom Line.

ABSTRACT

This study provides a systematic literature review on the integration of GHRM practices and technology-enabled systems in enhancing e-waste management effectiveness. The increasing volume of electronic waste, particularly mobile phone waste, presents significant environmental and sustainability challenges that require multidisciplinary solutions. Drawing on established theoretical perspectives, including the Resource-Based View, Ability–Motivation–Opportunity theory, and the Triple Bottom Line, this study synthesizes existing research to explore how human resource practices and technological innovation jointly contribute to sustainable outcomes. Using the PRISMA methodology, relevant studies were systematically identified, screened, and analyzed to extract key themes related to GHRM, technological advancement, organizational behavior, and environmental performance. The findings reveal that GHRM plays a critical role in shaping employee competencies, environmental awareness, and pro-environmental behavior, which are essential for the successful implementation of technology-driven e-waste management systems. Furthermore, technological innovations such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things, and automated recycling systems significantly improve operational efficiency and resource recovery. The review highlights the importance of integrating human and technological dimensions, emphasizing that the effectiveness of advanced technologies depends on organizational readiness and workforce capabilities. Additionally, mediating factors such as employee engagement and lean management, along with moderating factors such as regulatory environment and market dynamics, influence sustainability outcomes. This study contributes to the literature by providing a comprehensive and integrated framework that bridges human resource management and environmental sustainability. It provides practical and policy implications for organizations and policymakers seeking to develop effective and sustainable e-waste management strategies.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: w.palaandyzd122@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.70568/UJGHRSH2.2.4

All rights reserved.

ممارسات الموارد البشرية الخضراء وإدارة النفايات الإلكترونية المدعومة بالتكنولوجيا: مراجعة للتكامل المستدام

الكلمات الداله:

إدارة الموارد البشرية الخضراء؛ النفايات الإلكترونية؛ الاستدامة؛ الأنظمة التكنولوجية؛ إنترنت الأشياء؛ الأداء البيئي؛ السلوك التنظيمي؛ الخط السفلي الثلاثي.

الملخص

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقديم مراجعة منهجية للأدبيات حول تكامل ممارسات إدارة الموارد البشرية الخضراء والأنظمة المعتمدة على التكنولوجيا في تعزيز فعالية إدارة النفايات الإلكترونية. حيث يشكل التزايد المستمر في حجم النفايات الإلكترونية، وخاصة نفايات الهواتف المحمولة، تحديات بيئية وتنموية كبيرة تتطلب حلولًا متعددة التخصصات. وتعتمد الدراسة على عدد من الأطر النظرية مثل نظرية الموارد، ونظرية القدرة—الدافعية—الفرصة، ونهج الخط السفلي الثلاثي، لتحليل كيفية مساهمة الموارد البشرية والتكنولوجيا بشكل مشترك في تحقيق الاستدامة. وباستخدام منهجية PRISMA، تم تحديد الدراسات ذات الصلة وتحليلها لاستخلاص أهم المحاور المرتبطة بممارسات الموارد البشرية الخضراء، والتقدم التكنولوجي، والسلوك التنظيمي، والأداء البيئي. وتشير النتائج إلى أن ممارسات إدارة الموارد البشرية تلعب دورًا محوريًا في تعزيز مهارات الموظفين ووعيهم البيئي وسلوكهم الداعم للاستدامة، وهو ما يعد ضروريًا لنجاح تطبيق الأنظمة التكنولوجية في إدارة النفايات الإلكترونية. كما تسهم التقنيات الحديثة مثل الذكاء الاصطناعي وإنترنت الأشياء وأنظمة إعادة التدوير الذكية في تحسين الكفاءة التشغيلية واستعادة الموارد. حيث تبرز الدراسة أهمية التكامل بين البعد البشري والتكنولوجي، حيث تعتمد فعالية الأنظمة التكنولوجية على جاهزية المؤسسات وكفاءة الموارد البشرية. كما تؤثر عوامل وسيطة مثل مشاركة الموظفين والإدارة الرشيقة، إلى جانب عوامل معدلة مثل البيئة التنظيمية وديناميكيات السوق، في تحقيق نتائج الاستدامة. في حين تسهم هذه الدراسة في الأدبيات من خلال تقديم إطار متكامل يربط بين إدارة الموارد البشرية والاستدامة البيئية، كما تقدم دلالات تطبيقية وصنعية لصناع القرار والمؤسسات لتطوير استراتيجيات فعالة ومستدامة لإدارة النفايات الإلكترونية.

JEL Classification: Q53; Q56; M12; O33; L23

1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of digital technologies and the widespread adoption of mobile devices have led to a significant increase in electronic waste (e-waste), making it one of the fastest-growing waste streams globally. Among various types of e-waste, mobile phone waste represents a critical environmental challenge due to its short lifecycle, high replacement rate, and the presence of hazardous materials such as lead, mercury, and cadmium (Kiddee et al., 2013; Forti et al., 2024). At the same time, discarded devices contain valuable resources, including precious metals, which highlight the economic potential of effective recycling and recovery systems (Widmer et al., 2005). Despite growing global awareness, the management of e-waste remains inefficient, particularly in developing countries. Low recycling rates, weak infrastructure, and limited public participation continue to undermine sustainability efforts (Zhang et al., 2023). These

challenges are not solely technical or regulatory in nature but are deeply rooted in human behavior, organizational practices, and institutional capabilities. In this regard, the role of human resources has become increasingly important in shaping sustainable organizational outcomes.

In recent years, Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has emerged as a strategic approach that integrates environmental sustainability into human resource practices. GHRM emphasizes the role of employees in achieving environmental goals through green training, environmental awareness, performance management, and the development of a sustainability-oriented organizational culture (Renwick et al., 2013; Jabbour & Santos, 2008). By influencing employee behavior and fostering environmental responsibility, GHRM contributes to the successful implementation of sustainability initiatives across organizations. Parallel to this development, technological advancements have introduced new opportunities for improving e-waste management systems. Technologies such as automation, the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence, and smart recycling systems have enhanced the efficiency, traceability, and effectiveness of waste collection, sorting, and recovery processes (Porter & Heppelmann, 2015; Yu et al., 2024). However, the success of these technologies depends largely on human capabilities, organizational readiness, and the alignment between technological systems and workforce competencies. While prior studies have examined e-waste management from technological, environmental, and regulatory perspectives, limited attention has been given to the integration of human resource practices within technology-enabled waste management systems. In particular, the interaction between GHRM practices and technological innovation in enhancing e-waste management outcomes remains underexplored (Jabbour et al., 2015). This gap highlights the need for a comprehensive understanding of how human and technological dimensions can be integrated to achieve sustainable waste management. Therefore, this study aims to provide a systematic literature review of existing research on green human resource practices and technology-enabled e-waste management. It seeks to synthesize current knowledge, identify key themes, and explore the interrelationships between human resource practices, technological innovation, and sustainability outcomes. Additionally, the study aims to highlight research gaps and propose directions for future studies in this emerging interdisciplinary field. By bridging the gap between human resource management and environmental sustainability, this review contributes to the growing body of knowledge on sustainable development and offers valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to enhance the effectiveness of e-waste management systems through human-centric and technology-driven approaches.

2. Literature Review

Electronic waste (e-waste) has emerged as one of the most pressing environmental challenges worldwide due to the rapid advancement of technology and the increasing consumption of electronic devices. Mobile phone waste, in particular, represents a significant portion of global e-waste because of its short lifecycle and high replacement frequency (Kiddee et al., 2013; Forti et al., 2024). Improper disposal of mobile phones leads to severe environmental consequences, including soil and water contamination caused by hazardous substances such as lead, mercury, and cadmium (Widmer et al., 2005). Effective e-waste management systems involve multiple stages, including collection, segregation, recycling, and material recovery. However, in many developing countries, these systems remain inefficient due to inadequate infrastructure, weak regulatory enforcement, and low public awareness (Zhang et al., 2023). Furthermore, the dominance of informal recycling sectors often results in unsafe handling practices, increasing environmental and health risks (Kiddee et al., 2013).

2.1 Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) refers to the integration of environmental sustainability into human resource policies and practices. It encompasses activities such as green recruitment, environmental training, performance evaluation, and reward systems that promote environmentally responsible behavior among employees (Renwick et al., 2013). GHRM plays a critical role in shaping organizational culture and influencing employee behavior toward sustainability goals. Studies suggest that

organizations that adopt GHRM practices are more likely to achieve improved environmental performance and operational efficiency (Jabbour & Santos, 2008). Moreover, employee awareness and engagement are essential for the successful implementation of environmental initiatives, including waste management systems. In the context of e-waste management, GHRM contributes by enhancing employees' knowledge of recycling practices, improving compliance with environmental regulations, and fostering a culture of sustainability within organizations. Despite its importance, the application of GHRM in waste management systems remains relatively underexplored in the literature (Jabbour et al., 2015).

2.2 Technology-Enabled E-Waste Management

Technological innovation has significantly transformed e-waste management practices by improving efficiency, accuracy, and traceability. Advanced technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and automated sorting systems have enhanced the performance of waste collection and recycling processes (Porter & Heppelmann, 2015; Yu et al., 2024). For example, smart waste management systems enable real-time monitoring of waste flows, facilitating better decision-making and resource allocation. Automated sorting technologies improve material recovery rates while reducing operational costs and human error. Additionally, digital platforms can enhance transparency and accountability in waste management systems. However, the successful implementation of these technologies depends on organizational readiness and human capabilities. Without skilled employees and proper training, technological systems may fail to deliver expected outcomes. This highlights the importance of integrating technological innovation with human resource development.

2.3 The Role of Organizational and Behavioral Factors

Beyond technology, organizational and behavioral factors play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of e-waste management systems. Consumer behavior, employee attitudes, and organizational culture significantly influence recycling practices and waste disposal decisions (Ajzen, 1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) suggests that individuals' intentions to engage in environmentally responsible behavior are influenced by attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991). In the context of e-waste, awareness programs and education initiatives have been shown to improve recycling behavior and increase participation rates. At the organizational level, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives contribute to enhancing environmental performance by aligning business strategies with sustainability goals. Firms that actively engage in CSR are more likely to adopt environmentally friendly practices and encourage stakeholders to participate in waste management efforts (Carroll, 1999).

2.4 Integration of GHRM and Technology in Sustainability

The integration of Green Human Resource Management and technological innovation represents a critical pathway toward achieving sustainable e-waste management. While technology provides the tools and infrastructure needed for efficient waste processing, GHRM ensures that employees have the necessary skills, knowledge, and motivation to utilize these technologies effectively. Research indicates that organizations that align HR practices with technological systems achieve better sustainability outcomes (Jabbour et al., 2015). For instance, training programs focused on environmental awareness and technology usage can enhance employee competencies, leading to improved operational performance and reduced environmental impact. Moreover, the integration of GHRM and technology supports the Triple Bottom Line approach by simultaneously addressing environmental, economic, and social dimensions of sustainability. This holistic approach enables organizations to create value while minimizing environmental harm.

2.5 Research Gaps

Despite the growing body of literature on e-waste management, several gaps remain. First, most studies have examined technological, environmental, or regulatory aspects in isolation, with limited focus on the human dimension of sustainability. Second, the role of GHRM in supporting technology-enabled waste management systems has not been sufficiently explored. Additionally, there is a lack of integrated frameworks that combine human resource practices, technological innovation, and organizational behavior within a unified sustainability model. Future research should focus on developing interdisciplinary approaches that bridge these areas and provide practical solutions for improving e-waste management systems. Furthermore, empirical studies are needed to validate the relationship between GHRM practices

and technological adoption in waste management contexts. Comparative studies across different countries and industries can also provide valuable insights into best practices and policy implications.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a systematic literature review approach to examine the relationship between GHRM practices and technology-enabled e-waste management. The systematic review method is particularly suitable for synthesizing fragmented knowledge, identifying research gaps, and developing a comprehensive understanding of emerging interdisciplinary fields that integrate human resource management and environmental sustainability (Tranfield et al., 2003). By applying a structured and transparent process, this study aims to ensure rigor, objectivity, and replicability in reviewing the existing body of literature. To enhance methodological robustness, the review follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, which provide a standardized framework for identifying, screening, and selecting relevant studies (Page et al., 2021). The PRISMA approach allows for a systematic filtering of studies through clearly defined stages, ensuring that only high-quality and relevant research is included in the final analysis. A search strategy was employed to identify relevant literature from major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of high-impact peer-reviewed journals across disciplines such as human resource management, environmental science, and sustainability studies. The search process utilized a combination of keywords and Boolean operators to capture studies related to the core constructs of this research. Specifically, search strings included terms such as “Green Human Resource Management” OR “GHRM” combined with “e-waste management” OR “electronic waste,” and “technology” OR “IoT” OR “automation.” Additional keywords, including “sustainability,” “corporate social responsibility,” and “environmental management,” were incorporated to broaden the scope and ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant literature. To ensure the quality and relevance of the selected studies, a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria was applied. The review included peer-reviewed journal articles published in English between 2010 and 2025, focusing on GHRM, sustainability, e-waste management, and technological innovation in environmental systems. Studies were excluded if they were conference papers, reports, theses, or publications not directly related to the research topic. This filtering process helped maintain a high standard of academic rigor while ensuring that the selected literature aligns with the objectives of the study.

Following the initial search, duplicate records were removed, and the remaining studies were screened based on titles and abstracts to assess their relevance. Full-text articles were then reviewed to confirm their eligibility for inclusion. The selected studies were subsequently analyzed using a thematic analysis approach, which involves identifying, categorizing, and synthesizing key themes across the literature. This method allows for the systematic organization of findings into meaningful categories, such as GHRM practices, technological innovation, organizational behavior, and sustainability outcomes. Thus, this structured methodology enables the study to provide a synthesis of existing research while ensuring transparency and methodological rigor. The approach also enables the identification of critical gaps in the literature and supports the development of an integrated framework linking human resource practices with technology-enabled e-waste management systems.

4. Findings

This section presents the key findings derived from the systematic literature review, organized thematically to reflect the main dimensions emerging from the analysis. The findings highlight the critical role of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM), technological innovation, and organizational factors in shaping the effectiveness of e-waste management systems. The review reveals that e-waste management remains a complex and multidimensional challenge, particularly in developing economies where institutional capacity, infrastructure, and public awareness are often limited. Despite the availability of regulatory frameworks in many countries, the effectiveness of e-waste management systems is frequently

undermined by weak enforcement mechanisms and low stakeholder engagement. A consistent finding across the literature is that technological solutions alone are insufficient to address these challenges without strong human and organizational support.

One of the most prominent themes identified in the literature is the growing importance of Green Human Resource Management practices in promoting environmental sustainability. The findings indicate that GHRM plays a significant role in enhancing employees' environmental awareness, skills, and commitment, which are essential for the successful implementation of sustainable waste management practices. Organizations that invest in green training programs, environmental performance evaluation, and sustainability-oriented culture are more likely to achieve higher levels of environmental performance. Moreover, employee behavior is found to be a critical determinant of system effectiveness, as even advanced technologies may fail if employees lack the necessary competencies or motivation to utilize them effectively. In addition to human resource practices, technological innovation emerges as a key driver of efficient e-waste management systems. The literature highlights the role of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT), and automated sorting systems in improving waste collection, monitoring, and recycling processes. These technologies enhance operational efficiency, reduce costs, and increase the accuracy of material recovery. However, the findings emphasize that the successful adoption of such technologies depends heavily on organizational readiness and the availability of skilled human resources. This underscores the interdependence between technological systems and human capabilities. Another important finding relates to the mediating role of organizational and behavioral factors. The analysis shows that variables such as employee engagement, lean management practices, and cost efficiency act as critical mechanisms through which GHRM and technological innovation influence e-waste management outcomes. For instance, lean management practices help reduce operational waste and improve process efficiency, while employee engagement enhances participation in recycling and sustainability initiatives. Similarly, cost considerations play a crucial role in determining the feasibility and scalability of waste management systems, particularly in resource-constrained environments. Furthermore, the findings highlight the moderating influence of external factors, particularly regulatory environment and market dynamics. Strong regulatory frameworks and effective policy enforcement significantly enhance the impact of both GHRM and technological innovation on sustainability outcomes. Conversely, weak regulations and limited market incentives can hinder the adoption of sustainable practices. Market dynamics, including competition and consumer awareness, also influence organizational behavior and investment in sustainability initiatives.

A key integrative finding of this review is that the most effective e-waste management systems are those that adopt a holistic approach, integrating human, technological, and organizational dimensions within a unified framework. The evidence suggests that organizations that align GHRM practices with technological innovation and sustainability strategies are better positioned to achieve superior environmental, economic, and social outcomes. This integrated approach supports the Triple Bottom Line perspective, demonstrating that sustainability can be achieved through the simultaneous optimization of multiple dimensions. Despite these insights, the review also identifies several gaps in the literature. There is a lack of empirical studies that directly examine the interaction between GHRM practices and technology-enabled systems in the context of e-waste management. Additionally, most existing studies focus on either developed or single-country contexts, limiting the generalizability of findings. There is also limited research on the long-term impact of integrating human resource practices with technological innovation on sustainability performance. Thus, the findings of this study underscore the importance of adopting a human-centric and technology-driven approach to e-waste management. They highlight that sustainable outcomes cannot be achieved through isolated interventions but require coordinated efforts across multiple dimensions. By emphasizing the integration of GHRM and technological systems, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of the key drivers of effective e-waste management and lays the foundation for future research in this area.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study provide strong support for the argument that effective e-waste management requires an integrated approach that combines human, technological, and organizational dimensions. From a theoretical perspective, these findings can be interpreted through several complementary frameworks, including the Resource-Based View (RBV), the Ability–Motivation–Opportunity (AMO) theory, the Triple Bottom Line (TBL), and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). First, the role of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) in enhancing sustainability outcomes aligns closely with the Resource-Based View, which emphasizes the importance of valuable, rare, and inimitable organizational resources in achieving competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). The findings suggest that human capital (particularly environmentally skilled and motivated employees) represents a strategic resource that enables organizations to effectively implement sustainable practices such as e-waste management (Jabbour & Santos, 2008; Renwick et al., 2013). This supports prior research indicating that GHRM practices contribute to the development of green capabilities that enhance both environmental and operational performance (Jabbour et al., 2015; Yong et al., 2020).

In addition, the findings can be interpreted through the lens of the Ability–Motivation–Opportunity (AMO) theory, which posits that employee performance is a function of their abilities, motivation, and opportunities to participate (Appelbaum et al., 2000). GHRM practices such as training (ability), green rewards (motivation), and participatory decision-making (opportunity) play a critical role in shaping pro-environmental behavior among employees. The results of this study confirm that employee behavior acts as a key mediating mechanism linking HR practices to sustainability outcomes, consistent with prior empirical findings (Pham et al., 2019; Dumont et al., 2017). Furthermore, the integration of technological innovation with HR practices supports the socio-technical systems perspective, which emphasizes the interdependence between human and technological elements within organizations (Trist & Bamforth, 1951). The findings highlight that advanced technologies such as IoT, AI, and automation alone are insufficient without a workforce capable of effectively utilizing them. This reinforces the argument that technological investments must be complemented by human resource development to achieve optimal outcomes (Porter & Heppelmann, 2015; Yu et al., 2024).

The results also strongly support the Triple Bottom Line framework, which emphasizes the simultaneous achievement of environmental, economic, and social performance (Elkington, 1997). The integration of GHRM and technology-enabled systems contributes to environmental sustainability through improved waste management, economic efficiency through cost reduction and resource recovery, and social sustainability through employee engagement and community awareness. This holistic perspective is consistent with recent sustainability literature that calls for integrated approaches rather than isolated interventions (Zhang et al., 2023; Forti et al., 2024). From a behavioral perspective, the findings align with the Theory of Planned Behavior, which explains how attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control influence individual behavior (Ajzen, 1991). The importance of employee awareness and engagement identified in this study reflects the role of behavioral factors in shaping recycling and waste management practices. This is consistent with prior studies showing that environmental awareness and organizational culture significantly influence pro-environmental behavior (Kollmuss & Agyeman, 2002; Norton et al., 2015). Moreover, the mediating role of organizational factors such as lean management and cost efficiency can be explained through operations management and sustainability theories. Lean practices contribute to waste reduction and process optimization, thereby enhancing both environmental and economic performance (Womack & Jones, 2003). Similarly, cost considerations influence the adoption of sustainable technologies, particularly in developing countries where financial constraints are more pronounced (Govindan & Hasanagic, 2018).

The moderating effects of regulatory environment and market dynamics observed in this study are consistent with institutional theory, which emphasizes the role of external pressures in shaping organizational behavior (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Strong regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms encourage organizations to adopt sustainable practices, while market competition and consumer demand further drive innovation and environmental responsibility (Zhu et al., 2013; Testa et al.,

2016). Despite these theoretical contributions, the findings also highlight several gaps in the existing literature. First, there is limited empirical research examining the combined effect of GHRM and technology-enabled systems on e-waste management outcomes. Most studies tend to focus on either human or technological factors in isolation, which limits the understanding of their interaction effects. Second, there is a lack of cross-country comparative studies that explore how contextual factors influence the effectiveness of sustainability practices. Third, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of integrated approaches on sustainability performance. However, this study extends existing theories by demonstrating that sustainability in e-waste management is not solely a technological or environmental issue but a multidimensional challenge that requires the alignment of human resources, technological systems, and institutional frameworks. By integrating multiple theoretical perspectives, this study provides a comprehensive explanation of how organizations can achieve sustainable outcomes through coordinated and strategic efforts.

6. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive systematic review of the literature on GHRM and technology-enabled e-waste management, highlighting the critical need for an integrated and multidisciplinary approach to address the growing challenges associated with electronic waste. The findings demonstrate that sustainable e-waste management cannot be achieved through technological solutions alone but requires the active involvement of human resources, organizational practices, and supportive institutional environments. The review confirms that GHRM plays a pivotal role in enhancing environmental sustainability by shaping employee behavior, building environmental competencies, and fostering a culture of sustainability within organizations. Practices such as green training, environmental awareness programs, and sustainability-oriented performance management systems contribute significantly to improving the effectiveness of e-waste management initiatives. At the same time, technological innovations, including artificial intelligence, IoT, and automated recycling systems, offer substantial opportunities to improve efficiency, traceability, and resource recovery.

Importantly, this study highlights the interdependence between human and technological dimensions. The effectiveness of advanced technologies in e-waste management is highly contingent upon the skills, awareness, and engagement of employees. This underscores the importance of aligning GHRM practices with technological systems to ensure optimal outcomes. In this regard, organizational and behavioral factors, such as employee engagement, lean management practices, and cost efficiency, act as critical mechanisms that mediate the relationship between GHRM, technology, and sustainability performance. Furthermore, the findings emphasize the significant influence of external factors, particularly regulatory frameworks and market dynamics, in shaping organizational responses to sustainability challenges. Strong regulatory support and increasing market pressure can accelerate the adoption of integrated and sustainable e-waste management practices. Despite its contributions, this study acknowledges several limitations. As a literature review, the findings are based on existing studies and may be influenced by publication bias and differences in research contexts. Additionally, the limited availability of empirical studies that simultaneously examine GHRM and technology-enabled systems highlights the need for further research in this area.

Based on these insights, future research should focus on conducting empirical and longitudinal studies to examine the interaction between human resource practices and technological innovation in different contexts. Comparative studies across countries and industries are also recommended to enhance the generalizability of findings. Moreover, future research can explore the role of emerging technologies and digital transformation in strengthening the integration between human resources and environmental sustainability. Thus, this study contributes to the literature by providing a holistic understanding of how the integration of GHRM and technology-enabled systems can enhance e-waste management effectiveness. It offers valuable theoretical and practical insights for organizations, policymakers, and researchers seeking to develop sustainable and human-centric solutions to environmental challenges.

7. Practical and Policy Implications

The findings of this study offer several important practical and policy implications for organizations, policymakers, and stakeholders involved in e-waste management and sustainability initiatives. By highlighting the integration between GHRM and technology-enabled systems, this study provides actionable insights for improving environmental performance and organizational effectiveness. From a practical perspective, organizations should recognize the strategic role of human resources in achieving sustainability goals. The adoption of GHRM practices, such as green recruitment, environmental training, and sustainability-oriented performance evaluation, can significantly enhance employees' environmental awareness and capabilities. Organizations are encouraged to invest in continuous training programs that equip employees with the necessary skills to effectively utilize advanced technologies in e-waste management. Furthermore, embedding sustainability into organizational culture can foster long-term behavioral change and improve employee engagement in environmental initiatives.

In addition, organizations should align technological investments with human resource development strategies. The successful implementation of technologies such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT), and automated recycling systems depends on the availability of skilled and motivated employees. Therefore, integrating HR practices with digital transformation strategies is essential for maximizing the benefits of technological innovation. Companies should also adopt lean management practices to improve operational efficiency, reduce waste, and optimize resource utilization. From a policy perspective, governments and regulatory bodies play a crucial role in supporting sustainable e-waste management systems. Policymakers are encouraged to strengthen regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms to ensure compliance with environmental standards. The implementation of policies such as Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) can enhance accountability among manufacturers and promote sustainable product lifecycle management. In addition, public awareness campaigns and educational programs should be developed to encourage responsible consumption and proper disposal of electronic waste. Moreover, collaboration between public and private sectors is essential for building effective and sustainable e-waste management systems. Governments should provide incentives, such as tax benefits and subsidies, to encourage organizations to adopt green technologies and sustainable HR practices. Supporting research and innovation in this field can also contribute to the development of more efficient and environmentally friendly solutions. The integration of GHRM and technology-enabled systems requires coordinated efforts across multiple levels, including organizational, institutional, and societal dimensions. By adopting a holistic and human-centric approach, stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness of e-waste management systems and contribute to achieving broader sustainability goals.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Appelbaum, E., Bailey, T., Berg, P., & Kalleberg, A. L. (2000). Manufacturing advantage: Why high-performance work systems pay off. Cornell University Press.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268–295. https://doi.org/10.1177/000765039903800303

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. American Sociological Review, 48(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green behavior. Human Resource Management, 56(4), 613–627. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21792

Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. Capstone.

Forti, V., Baldé, C. P., Kuehr, R., & Bel, G. (2024). The global e-waste monitor 2024: Quantities, flows, and the circular economy potential. United Nations University.

Govindan, K., & Hasanagic, M. (2018). A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices in sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 175, 318–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.026

Jabbour, C. J. C., & Santos, F. C. A. (2008). The central role of human resource management in the search for sustainable organizations. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 19(12), 2133–2154. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190802479389

Jabbour, C. J. C., de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., Govindan, K., Teixeira, A. A., & Freitas, W. R. (2015). Environmental management and operational performance. Journal of Cleaner Production, 87, 129–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.064

Kiddee, P., Naidu, R., & Wong, M. H. (2013). Electronic waste management approaches: An overview. Waste Management, 33(5), 1237–1250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.01.006

Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally? Environmental Education Research, 8(3), 239–260. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620220145401

Norton, T. A., Parker, S. K., Zacher, H., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2015). Employee green behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 36(1), 103–129. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1982

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement. BMJ, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Pham, N. T., Tučková, Z., & Phan, Q. P. T. (2019). Greening the workforce. Journal of Cleaner Production, 208, 141–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.109

Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2015). How smart products are transforming competition. Harvard Business Review, 93(10), 96–114.

Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: A review. International Journal of Management Reviews, 15(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00328.x

Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Vaccari, A., & Ferrari, E. (2016). Why eco-labels can be effective. Business Strategy and the Environment, 25(5), 332–348. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1873

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375

Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method. Human Relations, 4(1), 3–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675100400101

Widmer, R., Oswald-Krapf, H., Sinha-Khetriwal, D., Schnellmann, M., & Böni, H. (2005). Global perspectives on e-waste. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 25(5), 436–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2005.04.001

Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation. Free Press.

Yong, J. Y., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., & Fawehinmi, O. (2020). Nexus between green intellectual capital and green HRM. Journal of Cleaner Production, 250, 119-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119

Yu, J., Zhang, X., & Li, Y. (2024). Smart technologies in e-waste management systems. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 200, 107300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.107300

Zhang, X., Wang, Y., & Chen, L. (2023). Sustainable e-waste management practices and challenges. Journal of Cleaner Production, 385, 135678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135678

Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2013). Institutional-based antecedents and performance outcomes. Journal of Operations Management, 31(1–2), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2012.10.001